

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION (

2

**COMMISSIONERS** 

GARY PIERCE

BRENDA BURNS BOB BURNS

**BOB STUMP - Chairman** 

SUSAN BITTER SMITH

1

3

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

AZ CORE SOLL

2014 JUL 1 AM 9 33

ORIGINAL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TABLE TOP TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY, THE FAIR VALUE OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, AND TO INCREASE RESIDENTIAL RATES AS NECESSARY TO COMPENSATE FOR THE RATE IMPACTS OF THE FCC'S USF/ICC

DOCKET NO. T-02724A-13-0416

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

COILLIL

1 2014

DOCKETED BY

JUL

PROCEDURAL ORDER

## BY THE COMMISSION:

TRANSFORMATION ORDER.

On December 4, 2013, Table Top Telephone Company, Inc. ("Table Top") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") pursuant to A.R.S. §40-250 and Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-103 for an increase in its residential rates in order to compensate for the rate impacts of the Federal Communication Commission's ("FCC") November 18, 2011, USF/ICC Transformation Order ("USF/ICC Order"). Table Top requested that the Commission approve a basic local access line rate of the lesser of \$19.00, or the floor rate to be set by the FCC, and in order to avoid the loss of federal funding for high-cost loop support, requested that the new rates go into effect by June 1, 2014.

By Procedural Order dated January 23, 2014, the matter was set for hearing on March 25, 2014.

On March 21, 2014, Table Top filed a Notice of the new FCC Rate Floor, attaching a copy of the FCC's Public Notice DA14-384 dated March 20, 2014, in which the FCC announced a floor of \$20.46. The FCC did not extend the June 1, 2014, deadline for implementing the new floor rate.

The hearing convened as scheduled on March 25, 2014, at which time Table Top requested that its local exchange rates be set at the FCC Floor Rate of \$20.46. Staff recommended that the local

Report and Order, Declaratory Ruling, Order, Memorandum Opinion and Order, Seventh Order on Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, WC Docket No. 10-90, WT Docket No. 10-208, WC Docket No. 14-58,

exchange rate be set no higher than \$19.00, because that was the maximum rate requested in the application.

On April 23, 2014, the FCC met in Open Meeting and, as it relates to this proceeding, adopted a Seventh Order on Reconsideration of its *USF/ICC Order* and a Notice of Further Rulemaking, in which it purportedly delayed imposition of the rule increasing the local service rate floor from June 1, 2014, until December 2014, and indicated that it would phase-in the full impact of the rule over a multi-year period.

On April 28, 2014 and May 7, 2014, the affected carriers and Staff participated in two telephonic procedural conferences to discuss the implications of the FCC's actions and whether the Commission needed to approve new rates by June 1, 2014, in order to preserve the current levels of federal high cost loop support. The Order adopted at the FCC's April 23, 2014 Open Meeting, had not yet been released, and no party was certain of its specific provisions. Staff recommended delaying Commission action on the rate applications until the FCC's actions were known, however without knowing the details of the forthcoming order, the carriers were reluctant to risk not having new rates in place by June 1<sup>st</sup>. On or about May 8, 2014, based on additional information, the affected carriers agreed that the Commission could delay taking action on their rate applications until the FCC's Order was released.

The FCC's Order was released on June 10, 2014. This Order grants a waiver of the provision that would cut high cost loop support for carriers if their rates are \$14 or higher as of June 1, 2014. In addition, it establishes a phase-in of the floor rate that calls for local rates to be at or above \$16 by December 1, 2014, at or above \$18 by June 1, 2016, and at or above \$20 by June 1, 2017.

Given the events at the federal level, the Commission now seeks revised substantive and procedural recommendations for how to proceed in these dockets. Specifically, what rates and time frame for implementation, do the parties now recommend? Can, or should, the Commission implement the recommended rates without re-opening the hearing? Given intervening events and any new recommendations, have these matters been adequately noticed? What are the procedural

WC Docket No. 07-135, CC Docket No. 01-92, Released June 10, 2014 ("Seventh Reconsideration Order") at ¶ 80.

recommendations for addressing future rate increases beyond December 1, 2014?<sup>2</sup> 1 2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties shall file updated substantive and 3 procedural recommendations for further action in this docket, as discussed herein, by July 31, 4 2014. 5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, 6 or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at 7 hearing. DATED this | st day of July, 2014. 8 9 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 11 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this |st day of July, 2014, to: 12 Craig A. Marks 13 Craig A Marks, PLC 10645 N. Tatum Blvd, Ste. 200-676 14 Phoenix, AZ 85028 Attorney for Table Top Telephone Company, Inc. 15 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel 16 Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 17 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 18 Steven M. Olea, Director 19 **Utilities Division** ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 20 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 21 22 By: 23 Assistant to Jane L. Rodda 24 25 26 27 <sup>2</sup> The parties are not limited to these questions and should consider a process for future rate increases that accommodates 28 the FCC's multi-year phase-in and comports with Commission policies and rules for processing rate cases.